## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISON

DRIPS HOLDINGS, LLC,	) CASE NO. 5:19-CV-02789-JRA
D1 - : - 4: CC	) HIDGE IOUNI B. ADAMS
Plaintiff,	) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
	) UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
V.	
	) MAGISTRATE JUDGE
TELEDRIP LLC, TAYLOR MURRAY, AN	) CARMEN E. HENDERSON
INDIVIDUAL; AND DOES, 1 - 5,	)
INCLUSIVE;	ORDER
intellective,	) ORDER
D C 1	)
Defendants.	

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and on referral for general pretrial supervision. (ECF No. 65). Presently before the Court is Defendants Teledrip and Murray's Motion to Compel Discovery. (ECF No. 106). Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a) and L.R. 37.1(a)(1) make clear that, prior to seeking court intervention to resolve a discovery dispute, the parties must have made good faith efforts to resolve the discovery dispute. As provided for in L.R. 37.1:

Discovery disputes shall be referred to a Judicial Officer only after counsel for the party seeking the disputed discovery has made, and certified to the Court the making of, sincere, good faith efforts to resolve such disputes.

See L.R. 37.1(a)(1).

Plaintiff Drips states that no meet and confer occurred prior to Defendants filing the motion to compel. Defendants' motion does not make clear that counsel have discussed the matter by telephone or in person.

Case: 5:19-cv-02789-JRA Doc #: 107 Filed: 07/19/21 2 of 2. PageID #: 2624

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Compel is DENIED without prejudice. The parties

shall meet and confer in person or via telephone to discuss their discovery dispute and shall

endeavor in good faith to resolve it prior to involving the Court.

Dated: July 19, 2021

s/ Carmen E. Henderson

CARMEN E. HENDERSON

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2